# Faculty Review of Open eTextbooks

The California Open Educational Resources Council has designed and implemented a faculty review process of the free and open etextbooks showcased within the California Open Online Library for Education (www.cool4ed.org). Faculty from the California Community Colleges, the California State University, and the University of California were invited to review the selected free and open etextboks using a rubric. Faculty received a stipend for their efforts and funding was provided by the State of California, the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation, and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

Textbook Name:
## Mooculus: Calculus

License:

Find it: eTextbook Website

Textbook Authors:
## David Guichard, Neal Koblitz, and H. Jerome Keisler

Reviewed by:
## Alex May

Institution:
## Sacramento City College

Title/Position:
## Professor

Format Reviewed:
## Online

A small fee may be associated with various formats.

Date Reviewed:
## August 2015



### Review Summary

| Category | Score |
|---|---|
| Subject Matter | 2.7 |
| Instructional Design | 2.9 |
| Editorial Aspects | 3.6 |
| Usability | 3.2 |

N/A 0 points | Very weak 1 point | Limited 2 points | Adequate 3 points | Strong 4 points | Superior 5 points

## California OER Council eTextbook Evaluation Rubric
### CA Course ID:  MATH 210

| Subject Matter (30 possible points) | N/A (0 pts) | Very Weak (1pt) | Limited (2 pts) | Adequate (3pts) | Strong (4 pts) | Superior (5 pts) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Is the content accurate, error-free, and unbiased? | | | | | X | |
| Does the text adequately cover the designated course with a sufficient degree of depth and scope? | | | X | | | |
| Does the textbook use sufficient and relevant examples to present its subject matter? | | | | X | | |

| | N/A (0 pts) | Very Weak (1pt) | Limited (2 pts) | Adequate (3pts) | Strong (4 pts) | Superior (5 pts) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Does the textbook use a clear, consistent terminology to present its subject matter? | | | | | X | |
| Does the textbook reflect current knowledge of the subject matter? | | | | X | | |
| Does the textbook present its subject matter in a culturally sensitive manner? (e.g. Is the textbook free of offensive and insensitive examples? Does it include examples that are inclusive of a variety of races, ethnicities, and backgrounds?) | X | | | | | |

Total Points:  16 out of 30

Please provide comments on any aspect of the subject matter of this textbook:
- The text is limited in depth as are the exercise sets.
- The text does not include any multivariate calculus.

| Instructional Design (35 possible points) | N/A (0 pts) | Very Weak (1pt) | Limited (2 pts) | Adequate (3pts) | Strong (4 pts) | Superior (5 pts) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Does the textbook present its subject materials at appropriate reading levels for undergrad use? | | | | X | | |
| Does the textbook reflect a consideration of different learning styles? (e.g. visual, textual?) | | | | X | | |
| Does the textbook present explicit learning outcomes aligned with the course and curriculum? | | | X | | | |
| Is a coherent organization of the textbook evident to the reader/student? | | | | X | | |
| Does the textbook reflect best practices in the instruction of the designated course? | | | | X | | |
| Does the textbook contain sufficient effective ancillary materials? (e.g. test banks, individual and/or group activities or exercises, pedagogical apparatus, etc.) | | | X | | | |
| Is the textbook searchable? | | | | | X | |

Total Points: 20 out of 35

Please provide comments on any aspect of the instructional design of this textbook:
- The exercise sets are too brief.

| Editorial Aspects (25 possible points) | N/A (0 pts) | Very Weak (1pt) | Limited (2 pts) | Adequate (3pts) | Strong (4 pts) | Superior (5 pts) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Is the language of the textbook free of grammatical, spelling, usage, and typographical errors? | | | | X | | |
| Is the textbook written in a clear, engaging style? | | | | | X | |
| Does the textbook adhere to effective principles of design? (e.g. are pages latid0out and organized to be clear and visually engaging and effective?  Are colors, font, and typography consistent and unified?) | | | | | X | |
| Does the textbook include conventional editorial features?  (e.g. a table of contents, glossary, citations and further references) | | | | X | | |
| How effective are multimedia elements of the textbook? (e.g. graphics, animations, audio) | | | | | X | |

Total Points:  18 out of 25

Please provide comments on any editorial aspect of this textbook.
- The PDF includes color.

| Usability (25 possible points) | N/A (0 pts) | Very Weak (1pt) | Limited (2 pts) | Adequate (3pts) | Strong (4 pts) | Superior (5 pts) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Is the textbook compatible with standard and commonly available hardware/software in college/university campus student computer labs? | | | | X | | |
| Is the textbook accessible in a variety of different electronic formats? (e.g. .txt, .pdf, .epub, etc.) | | | | X | | |
| Can the textbook be printed easily? | | | | | X | |
| Does the user interface implicitly inform the reader how to interact with and navigate the textbook? | | | | | X | |
| How easily can the textbook be annotated by students | | | X | | | |

| and instructors? | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|

Total Points: 16 out of 25

Please provide comments on any aspect of access concerning this textbook.

- Each exercise includes a link to the answer.

| Overall Ratings | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Not at all (0 pts) | Very Weak (1 pt) | Limited (2 pts) | Adequate (3 pts) | Strong (4 pts) | Superior (5 pts) |
| What is your overall impression of the textbook? | | | X | | | |
| | Not at all (0 pts) | Strong reservations (1 pt) | Limited willingness (2 pts) | Willing (3 pts) | Strongly willing (4 pts) | Enthusiastically willing (5 pts) |
| How willing would you be to adopt this book? | | | X | | | |

Total Points:  4 out of 10

## Overall Comments

If you were to recommend this textbook to colleagues, what merits of the textbook would you highlight?

- This is a basic book that can be read by a student on their own.
- The PDF includes some color.
- It might be a good choice for an online course.

What areas of this textbook require improvement in order for it to be used in your courses?

- I would supplement the text and the exercise sets. The exercises are limited in number and scope. The text is limited in scope.
- This text does not cover the multivariate topics typically covered in the third semester of the engineering calculus sequence. This text would need to be supplemented with the third semester material.

> We invite you to add your feedback on the textbook or the review to the textbook site in MERLOT
> (Please register in MERLOT to post your feedback.)

CALIFORNIA
OER
COUNCIL
CSU + CCC + UC

For questions or more information, contact the CA Open Educational Resources Council.